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## 1. Introduction and results

A complex number $w$ will be called a linearly distributed value of the entire function $f(z)$ if there is a straight line $l$ of the complex plane on which all the solutions of $f(z)=w$ lie. For functions of order less than one the occurrence of such values is completely described by

Theorem 1. If $f(z)$ is an entire transcendental function of order less than one, then any two linearly distributed values are distributed on the same line; moreover, the set of such values forms a closed straight line segment (which may reduce to a single point or $\emptyset$ ) of the complex plane.

That the theorem is no longer true for functions of order one is shown by $e^{z}$ for which every value is linearly distributed. This is in fact a characteristic property of the exponential function:

Theorem 2. If $f(z)$ is an entire function for which every value is linearly distributed, then $f(z)$ is either a polynomial of degree at most two or a function of the form $c+d e^{a z}, c, d, a$ constant.

## 2. Lemmas used in the proofs

Lemma 1. (Edrei [1].) Given a meromorphic function $f(z)$ of the complex variable $z=r e^{i \vartheta}$ and given the $q$ radii defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r e^{i \omega_{1}}, r e^{i \omega_{2}}, \ldots, r e^{i \omega_{q}}, \quad(r \geqq 0) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
0 \leqq \omega_{1}<\omega_{2}<\cdots<\omega_{q}<2 \pi, \quad(q \geqq 1)
$$

the roots of the equation $f(z)=a$ are said to be distributed on the radii (1) if there exist at most a finite number of roots of the equation which do not lie on the radii (1).

With this definition one has:
Let $f(z)$ be meromorphic and such that the roots of the three equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\infty, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(l)}(z)=1 \quad\left(l \geqq 0, f^{(0)} \equiv f\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

be distributed on the radii (1). Denote by $\delta\left(a, f^{(l)}\right)$ the deficiency of the value a of the function $f^{(l)}$ (in the sense of Nevanlinna), and assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(0, f)+\delta\left(1, f^{(l)}\right)+\delta(\infty, f)>0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the order $\rho$ of $f(z)$ is necessarily finite and
(6) $\rho \leqq \beta=\sup \left\{\frac{\pi}{\omega_{2}-\omega_{1}}, \frac{\pi}{\omega_{3}-\omega_{2}}, \ldots, \frac{\pi}{\omega_{q+1}-\omega_{q}}\right\}, \quad\left[\omega_{q+1}=2 \pi+\omega_{1}\right]$.

Remark. In our applications $f(z)$ will be entire, so that $\delta(\infty, f)=1$ and (5) is satisfied. Moreover $l$ will be 0 . Linear transformations of $z$ and of $f$ will enable us to replace (2) and (4) by

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(z)=a, \\
& f(z)=b(\neq a)
\end{align*}
$$

and to assume the rays (1) (which will be one or two complete straight lines corresponding to $q=2$ or 4) meet in some point other than $z=0$ without modifying the conclusion (6).

A consequence of Lemma 1 found by Edrer [1] is
Lemma 2. Let $f(z)$ be an entire function which is real on the real axis and for which the equations $f(z)=0, f(z)=1$ have only real solutions. Then for $0 \leqq h \leqq 1$ all the roots of $f(z)=h$ are real.

Lemma 3. Let $f(z)$ be regular in the infinite angular sector $D$ of aperture $\pi / \alpha$ bounded by two rays which meet in the origin. Suppose that $M, K, \delta$ are positive constants, $\delta<\alpha$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)|<M \exp \left(K r^{\delta}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the rays bounding $D$, while

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)|=O\left(\exp r^{\beta}\right), \text { as } r=|z| \rightarrow \infty \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds uniformly in $D$ for some constant $\beta<\alpha$. Then for constant

$$
L=K / \cos \left(\frac{\delta \pi}{2 \alpha}\right)
$$

one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)|<M \exp \left(L r^{\delta}\right) \quad \text { in } D \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If (7) and (9) are replaced by the condition

$$
|f(z)|<M
$$

the lemma reduces to the Phragmén-Lindelof principle in the form given in [3, p. 177]. One may obviously assume without loss of generality that $D$ is the
angular sector

$$
|\arg z|<\frac{\pi}{2 \alpha}
$$

and the slightly generalised form in Lemma 3 is obtained by applying the simple form of the principle to the function $f(z) \exp \left(-L z^{\delta}\right)$.

From Lemma 3 we obtain
Lemma 4. If the order of the entire function $f(z)$ is $\leqq \beta, \beta>0$, and if as $z \rightarrow \infty$ outside a number of disjoint angular sectors of the form $D$ :

$$
\vartheta_{1}<\arg z<\vartheta_{2}, \quad \vartheta_{2}-\vartheta_{1}<\frac{\pi}{\beta}
$$

one has

$$
|f(z)|=O\left(\exp \left(K r^{\beta^{\prime}}\right)\right), \quad \beta^{\prime}<\beta, K \text { constant }
$$

then the order of $f(z)$ is in fact $\leqq \beta^{\prime}$.
Proof. For each $D$ apply Lemma 3 to show $f$ is $O\left(e^{L r \beta^{\prime}}\right)$ (for some $L$ ) in $D$.
Lemma 5. (Bieberbach [1].) If there are two (non-infinite) values which are taken at most a finite number of times by the entire function $f(z)$ in the angular $D$ of aperture $\pi / \alpha$, then in every smaller sector contained in the interior of $D$

$$
f(z)=O\left(\exp \left(K|z|^{\alpha}\right)\right) \quad \text { as } \quad z \rightarrow \infty
$$

for suitable constant $K>0$.

## 3. Pro of of theorem 1

Suppose that all the solutions of $f(z)=b$ lie on the line $l: z=\alpha+\beta t, \alpha, \beta$ constant, $-\infty<t<\infty$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=f(\alpha+\beta z)-b \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

has only real zeros and can be written as a product

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=A z^{m} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{z}{z_{n}}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a constant, $m \geqq 0$ an integer and $z_{n}$ real. The function $F(z) / A$ is real on the real axis, has only real zeros and has order less than one, so that by a theorem of Laguerre (c.f. [3, p. 266]) all the zeros of

$$
F^{\prime}(z) / A=\beta f^{\prime}(\alpha+\beta z)
$$

are real. Thus all the infinitely many zeros of $f^{\prime}(z)$ lie on the straight line $l$, which is determined uniquely, independently of the value $b$.

From (10), (11) and the fact that $F(z) / A$ is real on the real axis it follows that Theorem 1 is completed if we show that the set $S$ of values $w$ for which $F(z) / A=w$ has only real roots form a closed segment of the real $w$-axis. Lemma 2
shows that $S$ is connected (the values 0,1 can clearly be replaced by any other real numbers in this lemma). On the other hand it is well known that $S$ cannot form the whole real line - indeed it is shown in [2] that $S$ is bounded. If $S$ contains more than two points it consists of an open or closed interval from $a$ to $b(>a)$. If $f(z)=b$ has a non-real root $z=c$, then for arbitrarily small $\varepsilon>0$ the equation $f(z)=b-\varepsilon$ has a non-real root near $z=c$. Thus $b$ (and similarly $a$ ) belong to $S=[a, b]$.

## 4. Proof of theorem 2

It is clear that a polynomial has all its values distributed linearly if and only if its degree is less than or equal to 2 .

Suppose from now on that $f(z)$ is a transcendental function for which every value is linearly distributed. We prove firstly that the order of $f(z)$ is finite:

Either (i) there are two values $a, b$ distributed on two lines $l_{a}, l_{b}$ which intersect, and the result follows by Lemma 1 , (Remark), with $q=4$, or (ii) all lines $l_{a}$ are parallel. In case (ii) take the line $l_{a}$ on which all solutions of $f(z)=a$ lie and find a $z$ on $l_{a}$ for which $f(z)=b \neq a$. Then all solutions of $f(z)=b$ will also lie on $l_{a}$ and we can apply Lemma 1 (Remark) to $a, b$ distributed on $q=2$ rays consisting of the two ends of $l_{a}$. Thus in either case $f$ has finite order.

Next we show that the order of $f(z)$ is $\leqq 1$. There are two cases to consider:

Case (i): There are two values $a, b(\neq a)$ for which $l_{a}, l_{b}$ are parallel. Then one can find two lines $p, q$ which intersect in the origin at an arbitrarily small angle $\varepsilon$ and such that two infinite angular sectors of aperture $\varepsilon$ formed by $p, q$ contain all but a bounded part of $l_{a}$ and $l_{b}$. The values $a, b$ are taken at most a finite number of times in the complementary sectors of aperture $\pi-\varepsilon$, so that by Lemma 5

$$
|f(z)|=O \exp \left\{K|z|^{\pi /(\pi-z)}\right\}
$$

in the complementary sectors. If $\varepsilon$ is small enough Lemma 4 shows that the order of $f(z)$ is at most $\pi /(\pi-\varepsilon)$ and hence is $\leqq 1$.

Case (ii): no two $l_{a}$ are parallel. Then for arbitrarily small $\vartheta$ one can find $a, b$ such that $l_{a}, l_{b}$ intersect in an angle $<\vartheta$. The point of intersection may not be the origin, but one can find lines $p, q$ which intersect in the origin, make an angle $\vartheta$ with one another and such that the two infinite angular sectors of aperture $\vartheta$ formed by $p, q$ contain all but a bounded part of $l_{a}$ and $l_{b}$. In the complementary sectors of aperture $\pi-\vartheta$ the values $a$ and $b$ are taken at most a finite number of times so that in these sectors

$$
|f(z)|=O \exp \left\{K|z|^{\pi /(\pi-\vartheta)}\right\}
$$

and by Lemmas 4, 5 it follows as in case (i) that the order of $f(z)$ is 1.
The final step is to shows that $f^{\prime}(z)$ does not take the value 0 . Suppose that there is a value $z=\alpha$ for which $f^{\prime}(\alpha)=0$ and let $\beta=f(\alpha)$. Clearly the linear
distribution of the value $\beta$ implies that $\alpha$ is a simple zero of $f^{\prime}(z)$. If the equation $f(z)=\beta$ has any root $\gamma$ other than $z=\alpha$ we obtain a contradiction as follows: Choose $0<\delta<|\gamma-\alpha| / 3$. If one chooses a suitable $w$ sufficiently close to $\beta$ the equation $f(z)=w$ will have a root $z_{1}$, in $|z-\gamma|<\delta$ and two roots $z_{2}, z_{3}$ in $|z-\alpha|<\delta$ which are so placed that the line joining $z_{2}, z_{3}$ does not meet $|z-\gamma|<\delta$ and hence does not contain $z_{1}$. It remains to dispose of the possibility that the equation $f(z)=\beta$ has no solution other than $z=\alpha$, i.e. that $f(z)$ is of the form $\beta+K(z-\alpha)^{2} e^{a z}$, where $K$ and $a$ are non-zero constants. This function has all its values linearly distributed if and only if the same is true of $g(z)=z^{2} e^{z}$. For $0<c<e$ the equation $g(z)=c$ has precisely three real roots so that the values $0<c<e$ are not distributed linearly.

Thus we have found that $f^{\prime}(z)$ has no zeros; like $f(z)$ it has order 1 and must take the form $f^{\prime}(z)=K e^{a z}, K, a$ constants; it follows that $f(z)$ has the form stated in Theorem 2.
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